IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Criminal Action No. 3:13-cr-00195-JAG

DEMIONE ANTOINE STEVENS, Defendant.

OPINION

On June 23, 2016, the defendant filed a motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (the "§ 2255 Motion") challenging his designation as a career offender under §2K2.1 and §4B1.2 of the United States Sentencing Guidelines (the "Guidelines"). He based this challenge on the Supreme Court's decision in *Johnson v. United States*, __ U.S. __, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015), which held the

residual clause of the similarly-worded Armed Career Criminals Act (the "ACCA")

unconstitutionally vague.

On March 6, 2017, the Supreme Court held that, unlike the ACCA language considered

in Johnson, the Guidelines are not subject to vagueness challenges. Beckles v. United States, ___

U.S., 137 S. Ct. 886, 890 (2017). Thus, the vagueness holding in Johnson does not apply to

the residual clause in §4B1.2 of the Guidelines. United States v. Lee, 855 F.3d 244, 246-47 (4th

Cir. 2017). Consequently, regardless of any procedural or timeliness issues with the § 2255

Motion, it would fail on the merits. *Id.*

For these reasons, the Court GRANTS the government's motion to dismiss the § 2255

Motion.

The Court will issue an appropriate order.

Let the Clerk send a copy of this Opinion to all counsel of record.

Date: June 6, 2017 Richmond, VA

John A. Gibney, Jr.

United States District Judge